1.5 Jobs by community

The following table shows the number of regular full-time jobs identified in each Nunavik community in 1993, 1995, 1998 and 2005.

	Year 1993		Year 1995		Year 1998		Year 2005	
Community	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)	(No.)	(%)
Akulivik	54	3%	55	3%	59	3%	81	3%
Aupaluk	26	2%	29	2%	30	1%	48	2%
Inukjuak	150	10%	149	9%	184	9%	239	7%
Ivujivik	38	2%	46	3%	48	2%	69	2%
Kangiqsualujjuaq	63	4%	80	5%	78	4%	103	3%
Kangiqsujuaq	55	4%	63	4%	66	3%	107	3%
Kangirsuk	43	3%	53	3%	53	3%	82	3%
Kattinik	0	0%	62	4%	397	19%	562	18%
Kuujjuaq	507	32%	545	31%	572	27%	813	25%
Kuujjuarapik	150	10%	161	9%	135	6%	153	5%
Puvirnituq	234	15%	240	14%	244	12%	309	10%
Quaqtaq	42	3%	46	3%	45	2%	77	2%
Salluit	125	8%	141	8%	121	6%	203	6%
Tasiujaq	30	2%	30	2%	37	2%	61	2%
Umiujaq	47	3%	47	3%	45	2%	71	2%
Regional	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	211	7%
Total	1564		1747		2114		3189	

TABLE 1. 6FULL-TIME JOBS BY COMMUNITY

The first thing to note is the fact that we find more jobs in all the communities in 2005. The growth of the population involves more jobs in services and trades and this is the case in Nunavik. We already mentioned the child care program which created a lot of positions in all the communities since 1998. Also, the vocational training budgets significantly increased since 1998 and all the employment and training programs financed by the Employment, Training, Income Support and Childcare Department involved job creation. For instance, the Sanarrutik program created employment in the northern villages of Nunavik.

Without surprise, Kuujjuaq is still the main job market in 2005 with the quarter of all the full-time jobs in Nunavik. Similar to 1998, the Raglan mining site stands at the second place. Then, the other crowded communities follow in order: Puvirnituq, Inukjuak and Salluit.

Finally, there is the new category "Regional" for 2005 where we count 211 positions. Indeed, some employers in the 2005 survey located different jobs as regional rather than in a specific community. For instance, many positions in air transportation, housing maintenance, construction, etc. were identified as regional. It wasn't the case in the previous surveys where all the jobs were linked with a specific community. We fount it more logical to keep the category "Regional" because those positions are really carried out on a regional basis. Since those jobs were more often located in the biggest